Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,248	BAILEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Fred Prince	1724
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Fred Prince</u> .	(3)	
(2) Michael Cornman.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 May 2005	Time: <u>10:30 am</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applican	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103		
Claims discussed: 1-2, 4-5		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior art of record.		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sun 	n. The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance or	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representativ	A Signature if appropriate
(Appl	ioaun∨hhiioaur 2 ⊯ehre2eilram	re Signature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed adding language to claims 1 and 5 to give patentably distinguish applicant's invention over the prior art in view of applicant's Remarks received by the Office on April 22 2005. A summary of the agreed upon changes is provided in the attached examiner's amendment.